

District of Ontario
Division No. 07 - Hamilton
Court No. BK-22-00208581-OT-31 31-2856381 (AP Private Equity Limited)
Court No. BK-22-00208582-O-T31 31-2856382 (Aiden Pleterski)

Grant Thornton Limited
11th Floor
200 King Street West, Box 11
Toronto, ON
M5H 3T4

Robert A. Stelzer

E rob.stelzer@ca.gt.com
T (416) 607-8849
F (416) 360-4949
www.GrantThornton.ca

IN THE MATTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY OF
**Aiden Pleterski &
AP Private Equity Limited**
MINUTES OF THE FIRST MEETING OF CREDITORS

DATE, TIME AND LOCATION

Date: August 29, 2022

Time: 11:00 am

Location: Offices of Grant Thornton Limited
200 King Street West, 11th Floor,
Toronto, ON M5H 3T4
(virtual attendance options were provided)

Chairperson: Rob Stelzer, CPA, CA, CIRP, LIT
Grant Thornton Limited

Secretary: Jesse Cooper
Grant Thornton Limited

Observer: Marie-Josée Sicard
Office of the Superintendent of Bankruptcy (OSB)

ATTENDANCE

The attendance at the meeting was recorded and is attached as **Exhibit "A"**.

CALL TO ORDER

Rob Stelzer introduced himself as the Chairperson and called the meeting to order at 11:00 AM. The Chairperson introduced Jesse Cooper of Grant Thornton as the secretary.

The Chairperson indicated his authority to chair the meeting as per s. 105(1) of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (BIA) and stated that any decision made by the Chairperson during the meeting may be appealed by the creditors to the Court.

Mr. Singh advised (after the meeting had commenced) that he was holding seven proof of claim forms for the creditors naming himself as proxyholder (the “**Singh Claims**”). There was some discussion about whether these claims could properly be admitted for the purpose of voting at the meeting. Normally, claims received after the meeting cannot be admitted for voting purposes, but the Chairperson noted that Mr. Singh had, in fact, been holding these claims when he and the Chairperson first spoke at 10:30AM. The conversation had focused on Mr. Singh’s own claim and the Chairperson believed that Mr. Singh had meant to provide them when he provided his own claim. The Chairperson thought it appropriate to include them since they were available to the Trustee before the meeting. The Chairperson conferred with Ms. Sicard, the Senior Bankruptcy Analyst from the OSB, who attended as an observer. Ms. Sicard agreed that since the claims were available to the Trustee before the meeting, they could be included.¹

QUORUM & TABLED DOCUMENTS

The Chairperson stated that pursuant to s. 106(1) of the BIA a quorum had been established since there was at least one creditor entitled to vote, or the representative of such a creditor, who was present.

The Chairperson stated that all proofs of claim had been reviewed and validated (except for the Singh claims which could be reviewed in an adjournment later in the meeting). The Chairperson further stated that the Trustee’s proof of mailing for the *Notice of First Meeting of Creditors* had been examined and the Chairperson declared the meeting to be properly called and duly constituted.

The Chairperson tabled the following documents:

- Statement of Affairs
- Proofs of Claim
- Affidavit of Service of Notice to Creditors
- Trustee’s Preliminary Report
- Newspaper Advertisement

PURPOSE OF MEETING

The Chairperson stated that as per s. 102(5) of the BIA, the purpose of the First Meeting of Creditors is to consider the affairs of the bankrupt, affirm the appointment of the Trustee (or substitute), appoint inspectors, and to give such directions to the Trustee as the creditors may see fit with reference to the administration of the estate.

¹ The Chairperson notes that all of these claims were ultimately used to vote against the Trustee’s appointment so excluding them would only increase the votes for the Trustee; their inclusion did not benefit the Trustee.

OTHER MATTERS

The Chairperson advised the meeting that the claims against Aiden Pleterski ("**Pleterski**") and the claims against AP Private Equity Limited ("**AP**" or together with Pleterski the "**Bankrupts**") are interrelated, and as a result, it has been difficult to determine who the debtor is. The Chairperson expressed his preliminary view that the two estates should be consolidated into one administration. However, Court approval would be required to effect such consolidation. Accordingly, the discussion today would consist of two meetings running in tandem.

Norman Groot asked the Chairperson whether GTA Exotics' claim was being accepted and if he had received the retraction notice email that was sent prior to the commencement of the meeting. The Chairperson responded that at the adjournment where the new Singh Claims were to be examined he could review the GTA Exotics claim and that any questions related to E-mails received or other questions for the Trustee could be asked at the question period of the meeting.

The Chairperson noted that it was disappointing that Pleterski was not physically in attendance at the meeting. The Chairperson noted that he had reminded Pleterski multiple times of his obligation to attend in person and that an E-mail indicating his non-attendance was received just over an hour before the meeting indicating that his ride plans fell through. The Chairperson had offered to pay for a cab so that Pleterski could attend, but Pleterski claimed the cab company required \$150 cash to drive to Toronto and that he had insufficient funds to pay. Ultimately, Pleterski did not attend.

TRUSTEE'S REPORT TO THE CREDITORS

The Chairperson summarized the contents of the trustee's *Preliminary Report* to the meeting.

QUESTION PERIOD AND DISCUSSION²

Summary of Questions Posed to the Trustee:

- Q: Have you been in contact with Pleterski's former girlfriend (Mya Patricia Trentadue)?
A: The Trustee responded that Mya had been contacted multiple times by telephone call and Facebook Messenger, but she hasn't responded. The Trustee advised that it planned to visit her home address which had just been provided.³

² The creditor meeting lasted over 5 hours, with the question period being the longest part. It is not practical to address every question asked and the Chairperson is concerned that there is confidential information which creditors shared about their own financial circumstances which is not appropriate to be shared in a public document. Therefore, questions have been summarized and the person asking the question has not been named as, in many cases, it was not clear who asked the question since phone participants asked many of the questions and at times spoke over one another and several people asked similar questions. As discussed at the meeting, the question part of the meeting was recorded. The recording will be shared with the Grant Thornton team, the Trustee's counsel, the OSB and the estate inspectors.

³ This visit ultimately took place on August 30, 2022.

Q: What is your understanding of how Pleterski lost our funds and what has Pleterski told you?

A: The Trustee responded that during their discussions, Pleterski claimed to only have approximately \$2 million in assets remaining at the time of his bankruptcy and claimed that he lost most of the money given to him in late 2021/early 2022 in a series of margin calls and bad trades. The Trustee noted that it had not received any evidence of this despite requesting it from Pleterski and that it needed bank statements (which had been requested) and other support in order to comment on Pleterski's representations.

Q: Did you ask Pleterski to provide clear evidence of the trades he claims to have made?

A: The Trustee responded that it had asked Pleterski to provide evidence of his bad trades as well as bank statements, and that so far Pleterski has failed to do so. The banks were slowly providing the Trustee with bank statements as the Trustee had requested.

Q: Where has all the money gone?

A: The Trustee confirmed that it has reached out to every financial institution it believes had accounts in the name of the Bankrupts and that a significant sum had already been collected or was expected to be collected as is shown in the Trustee's Preliminary Report. The Trustee still needed bank statements from most of the banks, however. The Trustee also acknowledged that there are allegations that statements provided by Pleterski had been forged, which is why the Trustee requested statements directly from the financial institutions as well.

Q: Did people provide funds to the Originators (as defined in the Trustee's Preliminary Report)? What recourse is available if some of the money was provided to the Originators?

A: The Trustee responded that it isn't entirely sure. This may be something that the Trustee makes a recommendation to the Court about, and if there is compelling evidence, they may receive Court approval to allow claims paid to an Originator. The Trustee confirmed that it was aware that there were some individuals who had paid money to the Originators.

Q: When did you start working on this file and why haven't you collected the bank records?

A: The Trustee responded that they received the Order on August 10th and requested records from the banks on the same day. The Trustee advised that the banks have been very slow to follow up, likely because of the Mareva injunction but that some money had already been received as was shown in the Trustee's Preliminary Report.

Q: Can or does the Trustee initiate criminal proceedings?

A: The Trustee directed the question to Leanne Williams. Ms. Williams said that it's not unusual for a Trustee to cooperate with the police in respect of criminal charges. Usually, the Trustee will prepare a concise statement of information that could lead to criminal charges and assist the appropriate authorities with their investigation, but it's ultimately up to the authorities as to whether they press charges.

Q: Will you be examining the Originators' assets?

A: The Trustee responded that the Originators' transactions may be attacked, but right now, they do not know how involved the Originators were. The Trustee advised that it would like to have a conversation with the Originators regarding their involvement.

Q: Were there any steps that could have been taken prior to the meeting to accelerate the recovery efforts?

A: The Trustee responded that it believes it has taken appropriate steps to safeguard and pursue assets. The Trustee noted the lack of cooperation from Pleterski, as is outlined in the Trustee's Preliminary Report, did complicate these efforts to date.

Summary of Questions Posed to Aiden Pleterski:

Q: You advised that you had \$21.0 million as of late 2021. Where did it go?

A: Pleterski responded that he did not invest all the funds in one place. There was a time where he was given money, but wasn't investing it for various reasons (i.e., the markets were down, people were asking for returns). Pleterski claimed that he deposited all the funds he received into one account and that he treated everything as one investment.

Q: When was the last time in 2022 that you made a trade?

A: Pleterski responded that he could not remember an exact date, but that he believes that he made his last trade at the end of spring/early summer of 2022.

Q: How would you describe the last trade?

A: Pleterski responded that he could not recall the total pool of funds but described the trade as decently large and noted that he would have lost a significant amount of money.

Q: What do you have in terms of records of your trading?

A: Pleterski responded that he doesn't have many statements on hand, but that he could probably locate statements from FX Choice, Vantage FX, Wealthsimple, as well as some records of crypto transactions and bank records. Pleterski stated that he doesn't think that one of the firms he invested with still exists, and, therefore, it would likely be difficult to acquire statements from them.

Q: Did you keep a log or record of whose money you used and where you used it?

A: Pleterski responded that he was very unorganized. He did not have any accounting advice or any employees. Everything travelled through word of mouth and happened very quickly. He did not keep track of his finances, nor did he keep a record of his indebtedness or payments. Pleterski claimed that he and the creditors signed contracts via text message.

Q: Why did you continue taking money and promising people a 5-7% return when you knew that you had lost money? Leading up to April, why did you continue referring to every week as a "winning week"?

A: Pleterski said that he has already answered this question.

Q: Where are the electronic devices you have been communicating with?

A: Pleterski responded that he has been communicating with his cellphone and claimed that the Trustee has not requested that he hand it over to them.⁴

Q: Do you have gold and silver bars in your possession?

A: Pleterski responded that he no longer has gold or silver bars in his possession. Pleterski claimed that he sold his bars to Sandeep Gupta. Pleterski also noted that that Sandeep Gupta's father, Ray Gupta, owned the home he was living in until recently and that Sandeep Gupta has purchased other property from him.

Q: Have you received significant cash payments from Asian ladies over the past two years?

A: Pleterski responded no – he did not receive a significant amount of cash from any Asian female investors.

Q: Do you have any cash on hand today?

A: Pleterski responded that he has a couple hundred dollars.

Q: Why haven't you supplied bank records?

A: Pleterski responded that he is putting everything together and is going to give the records to the Trustee.

Q: Where's the Lamborghini Huracan STO?

A: Pleterski responded that it was involved in a horrible accident two months ago.

Q: Where is the Lamborghini SVJ?

A: Pleterski responded that it was repossessed by Paul Motors.

Q: Where is the Patek Philippe watch?

A: Pleterski responded that he does not have one and has never had a Patek Philippe watch.

Q: When was the last time you invested?

A: Pleterski responded that it was sometime in May or June.

Q: How much money did you invest in May or June?

A: Pleterski responded that he does not know because he has been disorganized and has poor records.

Q: Why did you continue investing money when you knew you could not repay your current investors?

A: Pleterski responded he was a 20 something year old kid.

Q: How much money did you sell the McLaren Senna for? Who collected the money?

⁴ This statement from Pleterski is correct. The Trustee did not have equipment to image Pleterski's phone for the initial August 10, 2022 meeting. The Trustee has not physically seen Pleterski since that meeting – Pleterski had been largely unavailable to the Trustee as is described in the Trustee's Preliminary Report. The Trustee had spoken with its forensics practice about imaging Pleterski's phone and had planned to ask for the phone at the creditor's meeting, but Pleterski did not attend the meeting in person as he was required to.

- A: Pleterski responded that he does not recall how much he sold it for. He mentioned that he was falling behind on rent payments and owed a large balloon payment on his home (which was rented for approximately \$45,000/month).
- Q: Do you have any financial interest in 5126 Lakeshore Drive?
A: Pleterski responded that until the lease expires, he does not.
- Q: What happens when your lease expires?
A: Pleterski responded that he has to get a mortgage, and if he does, then he has the option to take possession of the home at \$8.5 million. Pleterski made an undertaking to provide the lease.⁵
- Q: What was the last month you took a cheque from someone?
A: Pleterski responded that it was more than 6-7 months ago, but he doesn't recall exactly when.
- Q: What was the last month you took money from someone?
A: Pleterski responded that he doesn't know.
- Q: The Lamborghini Huracan STO was crashed in November. Where is the car and who was the insurance paid out to?
A: Pleterski responded that the car was leased, it's in pieces and there's no equity in it.
- Q: Where were you when the Trustee was trying to contact you for 8 days?
A: Pleterski responded that he was in a very dark place and was receiving death threats and that people were showing up to his parents' home.
- Q: At the time the Trustee was trying to contact you, were you staying at the apartment outside of the city where the Trustee later met you at?
A: Pleterski responded, yes, but clarified that he was not residing there when Jesse Cooper left the note there for him.
- Q: Did you sell the McLaren Senna to Mr. Gupta or Mr. Gupta's son?
A: Pleterski responded that he gave the car to Ray Gupta (the father of Sandeep Gupta) as collateral against the home in March because he was falling behind on payments. Pleterski claimed that the car was officially signed over to Mr. Gupta two months ago and that the collateral value was \$900,000.
- Q: Did you ever own a Patek Philippe watch, and if so, what happened to it?
A: Pleterski responded that he never owned a Patek Philippe watch and that he has never owned a watch with a value greater than \$600,000.
- Q: Do you collect watches?
A: Pleterski responded that he wouldn't consider himself to be a collector. He will purchase a watch when he sees one that he likes.

⁵ Pleterski's counsel provided it to the Trustee on September 1, 2022.

Q: How many watches have you purchased?

A: Pleterski responded that over the last two or three years, he thinks he purchased a total of 5-6 watches.

Q: What is the total value of the watches?

A: Pleterski responded that the total value of the watches is probably somewhere between \$200,000-\$400,000. The Trustee advised the meeting that, to date, he has only received one watch and an empty watch box from Pleterski.

Q: Where is the diamond incrustated Rolex?

A: Pleterski responded that he handed over a Jacob & Co. watch to the Trustee, and that he does not know where the diamond incrustated Rolex is.

Q: What about the three other watches? What are the names of the manufacturer(s) and what happened to them?

A: Pleterski responded that the other three watches were Rolex Datejust and that he sold them approximately 9-15 months ago.

Q: Did you sell these watches to the Gupta family?

A: Pleterski responded that he sold the watches through the Guptas. Pleterski claimed that he and one of the Guptas went on a website (the name of which he could not recall) to determine the market price of the watches. Mr. Gupta then went out to find interested buyers and facilitated the deals. Pleterski claimed that the purchasers paid in cash, but he does not recall how much money they made from the sales. Pleterski said that he and Mr. Gupta shared the proceeds of the sales and that he used his portion to pay off creditors and bills.

Q: What was the role of the Originators and what was their knowledge of the investments and problems?

A: Pleterski responded that the Originators are his friends and also investors and creditors. As his friends, they were involved early on and were also paid back very early on. Pleterski claimed that word spread through the Originators. The Originators would connect Pleterski with investors, but to his knowledge, they didn't necessarily have a role in his business.

Q: What was the timeline in respect of things going south with the Originators?

A: Pleterski responded when the legal troubles started happening in June.

Q: If you knew that things were going downhill at the end of last year, why did you continue to take money from people? Where was the money going?

A: Pleterski responded that he was very upfront with people when they tried giving him their money and that he had informed them that his priority was trying to make money to repay people.

Q: Over the last year, you and your friends were purchasing cars and taking private jets. How did your friends afford to invest with you? Where did their money come from?

A: Pleterski responded that this was a question for his friends to answer.

Q: Are you laundering money?

A: Pleterski responded no.

Q: Were you not curious about where your friends were getting their money from?

A: Pleterski responded that it is none of his business how individuals get or make their money.

Q: You said you were having trouble and tried to get money back to people before this process began?

A: Pleterski responded that he was in the process of pushing funds into the market and taking low risk positions and completing low risk trades with the goal of earning back any money he lost. Pleterski claimed that he was trying to work directly with individuals and pay them back their money, but that it became clear that there was a lot of bad blood.

Q: Were people collecting commissions to get more clients?

A: Pleterski responded that he directly paid money to Jason Affleck and Chris Winn. Pleterski claimed that Jason raised capital from a lot of people without his knowledge. Pleterski alleged that Jason and Chris were responsible for arranging/raising approximately \$8-9 million.

Q: What is the total amount of money that you think you've repaid to your Originators?

A: Pleterski responded that approximately \$400,000-\$800,000 was repaid collectively. Pleterski said that he wants to make everybody whole again, even if he isn't legally obligated to do so.

Q: Do you own or lease the Lamborghini Huracan STO?

A: Pleterski responded that he leases it and put down about \$250,000.

Q: Did you invest every single dollar you received from the victims?

A: Pleterski responded that every dollar went into the investment pool.

Q: Have you ever made money trading stocks?

A: Pleterski responded yes.

Q: Can you provide details [about the money made trading stocks] to the Trustee?

A: Pleterski gave an undertaking to provide details to the Trustee about the money he made trading stocks.

Q: Was there any equity in the Lamborghini Aventador SVJ that was repossessed?

A: Pleterski responded that there was \$411,000 in equity.

Q: Have you ever spoken to someone named Manny about purchasing the Lamborghini Aventador SVJ?

A: Pleterski responded that he met Manny through car shows. Pleterski claimed that the sale never went through. Pleterski said that the car was repossessed by Paul Motors.

Other Information Provided During the Question Period

1. Mya Patricia Trentadue was present for the meetings that GTA Exotics had with Pleterski regarding their investments and seemed to be deeply connected with what was going on.

2. Pleterski claimed that he did not give his parents any money to put towards their mortgage and that his parents are not involved.
3. Pleterski claimed that he is not aware of transferring cash to any of the Originators. However, the Trustee advised that on August 24, 2022 Pleterski had advised the Trustee that he repaid each of the Originators such that they are no longer owed any money. Pleterski said that these payments were made over a year ago. The Trustee advised the meeting that they have compelling evidence that the payments were made to the Originators much more recently.
4. Pleterski claimed that he already paid back some creditors because they were putting pressure on him and threatening him and his family.
5. Pleterski claimed that he currently does not have a financial interest in 5126 Lakeshore Drive. Pleterski said that when the lease is up, he will be required to get a mortgage in order to take possession of the home at \$8.5 million. The Trustee asserted that the market value of the property is believed to be greater than \$10.0 million.

Brad Rogers advised the Trustee that the homeowner transferred the property to his shell company for \$5.0 million dollars, and that he suspects that Pleterski is in cahoots with the homeowner, Ray Gupta.

Stewart advised the Trustee that the property is currently listed for sale.

6. Diana noted that Pleterski has a vendor take-back mortgage on 1 Sunny Rose Court and 5126 Lakeshore Drive. Diana advised that when she attempted to purchase 1 Sunny Rose Court, Pleterski claimed that he had money in the house.
7. Catherine Horber said that she invested money with Pleterski sometime between April 1-4th, and that during that time, Pleterski did not tell her about his difficulties. In fact, she claimed that Pleterski had confirmed that her assets and principal would be secured. Pleterski denied Catherine's allegations and said that he had warned her that it might not be a good idea for her to go through with the loan. Catherine said she has copies of the text message exchange where Pleterski said the loan would be a very good idea.
8. Stewart Wilson claimed that Pleterski told him that the Lamborghini Huracan STO was paid for in full because it was the only way for him to get the car. Pleterski denied telling Stewart that. Stewart advised the meeting that he spoke to the person in possession of the vehicle and that they told him that the damage to the vehicle could be easily fixed. Pleterski advised Stewart that he did have insurance on the vehicle when he crashed it.
9. Sacha Singh claimed that Pleterski showed him a screenshot of an account with \$1.4 million in it and said that the money was for his next fund. Pleterski denied this claim.

A motion was made and seconded for a short adjournment to allow the Trustee time to review the Singh Claims.

APPOINTMENT OF TRUSTEE FOR AIDEN PLETERSKI & AP PRIVATE EQUITY LIMITED

Following the adjournment, the Trustee noted that the vote would take a long time given the number of creditors and asked if anyone had objections to creditors voting simultaneously for the Pleterski and AP estate. No one objected. Michael Nowina made a motion to affirm the appointment of the Trustee which was seconded. The vote began with the Trustee showing the results of each creditor onscreen as each creditor voted.

Ultimately, the Trustee received 44% voting yes in the Pleterski estate and 42% voting yes in the AP estate.⁶ The Chairperson noted there were insufficient votes to affirm the Trustee with 6% more being needed in the Pleterski estate and 8% in the AP estate. The Chairperson asked if anyone wanted to bring a motion for a substitution, noting that there were insufficient votes to substitute based on the affirmation votes. The Chairperson noted that trustees from BDO were present and that BDO is a good firm with the requisite asset tracing and other experience to take on a mandate of this complexity. No substitute motion was made.

The Chairperson noted that Grant Thornton Limited would, therefore, continue as Trustee.

Clarifications Provided by the Trustee

Norman Groot noted that he and BDO objected to paragraphs 13-15 of the Trustee's Preliminary Report. The Chairperson advised that he would note the objection.⁷

The Chairperson made two clarifications at the request of Norman Groot:

1. The Proof of Claims forms received by Singh were included in the vote tally.
2. The reference in the Trustee's Preliminary Report to section 202 (1) (g) of the BIA does not apply to law firms such as Investigative Counsel.

APPOINTMENT OF INSPECTORS FOR AIDEN PLETERSKI

The Chairperson advised that as per s. 116(1) of the BIA, the creditors may appoint up to five Inspectors to the estate. The Inspectors are appointed as representatives of all the creditors and are expected to assist the trustee by virtue of their experience and are required to supervise certain aspects of the trustee's administration. The Chairperson requested nominations for Inspectors starting by understanding who in the audience was interested in serving as an inspector.

Given the recommendation to consolidate, the Chairperson recommended that the creditors elect the same 5 inspectors for each of the bankruptcies and that any inspector votes be done on a

⁶ Creditor Iain Meldrum originally voted "for" the appointment of the Trustee but later asked to change his vote to "no". The Chairperson allowed this. The Singh Claims against the Trustee have also been included in these figures.

⁷ The Trustee reviewed the Trustee's Preliminary Report subsequent to the meeting and agreed to remove these paragraphs at the request of Investigative Counsel. The revised report was recirculated to all parties.

consolidated basis (i.e. the aggregate claim in the two bankruptcies). No one objected to this request.

The Chairperson further recommended that creditor groups, such as the Baker McKenzie group, put forward only one name to represent their group. There is no legal requirement to do so as inspector appointments are via ordinary resolution, but having all major groups represented may build trust in the process which was particularly important given the rumours addressed in the Trustee's Preliminary Report.

Michael Nowina noted that there were two parties in his group who wanted to serve as inspectors, but that he was in agreement with the Chairperson's suggestion of diverse representation and so only one name would be put forward if others did the same.

Norman Groot asked those who wanted to serve as inspectors to provide a bit of background information on themselves. The Chairperson agreed and asked each person to do so.

A long voting process followed with **Braden Martyniuk, Sacha Sing, Akil Heywood, Alice Chornenka** and **Stewart Wilson** being the inspectors elected for each of the Aiden Pleterski and AP Private Equity Limited estates.

The First Inspectors meeting was held immediately following the meeting of creditors.

Closing Discussion

There were final discussions with respect to:

1. the concern that Pleterski could flee the country and whether a request could be made for an order requiring Pleterski to surrender his passport;
2. a Contempt Order;
3. a Certificate of Pending Litigation against the Burlington property;
4. pursuing criminal charges against Pleterski; and
5. the concern that someone will harm Pleterski, or that Pleterski will harm himself.

DIRECTIONS TO THE TRUSTEE

The Chairperson asked if there were any additional questions or directions to be given to the LIT and there were none beyond what has been noted above.

In response to a creditor questions, Leanne explained that it would not be easy for the Trustee to demand Pleterski's passport and that the pursuit of criminal charges would not take place until the investigation had move further along.


ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING

The Chairperson asked if there were no further matters to discuss that someone make a motion to end the meeting.



The motion was carried and the meeting of creditors was adjourned at 4:20 PM.

Sincerely,



Rob Stelzer
CA, CPA, CIRP, LIT

Exhibit A
In Person Attendance List – First Meeting of Creditors for Aiden Pleterski and AP Private Equity Ltd.

Creditors Present or Represented

Name	Representing	Claim Amount
JOSIE PLETESKI	BITU	—
GARY CORRIGAN	BITU	—
SACHA SINHA		
Stewart Wilson		—
Viktorija Burbo		—
SALLY BHAGERATH		—
Matthew Westby		—
Sarwinnee Singh		—
Eric Stein		—
Sumit Kumar		
Alice O. Chornenka		—
Steve Weisz		
Michael Nowina	Nelson Lang	—
Nelson Lang	—	—
Lianne Williams	Grant Thornton	—
Rebekah O'Hare	Grant Thornton	—
Ava Larios	Ava Larios	—
Carson Aikin		

Name	Representing	Claim Amount
Norman Groot	ILPC/GTA	840K
Josha Mancolt	ILPC/GTA	840K
Zabrina Albarus		20,000
DIANA RAMGOLIA		
Roy Smallwood		
Sarah Kruger		
Neyon Smallwood		
Shawn Gendron		660,000

Virtual Attendance List – First Meeting of Creditors for Aiden Pleterski and AP Private Equity Ltd.

To accommodate people who were not comfortable with attending in person due to the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual attendance was allowed at the meeting.

Confirmed virtual attendees:

- Aiden Pleterski (Debtor)
- Marie-Josée Sicard (OSB)
- Neville Thompson
- Jason Affleck
- Dave Hotrum
- Wail Abdel Karim
- Saudia Crossfield
- Braden Martyniuk
- Elaine Cai
- Seun Bammaké
- Cara and Bob Funk
- Sunray (Representative)
- Scott Theis
- Akil Heywood
- Andrea Samuels
- Thomas Gertner
- Dushandan Selvakumarasingam
- Oliver Ward
- Paul McCurbin
- Catherine Horber
- Chris Winn
- Leea Wood
- Michelle Heyes
- Brent Bolahood
- Craig Johnson
- Kathy O'Reilly
- Ryan Rumble
- Iain Meldrum